

**John M. Guynn**

---

**From:** Randy Smith [rsmith@earthshell.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 17, 2005 6:06 PM  
**To:** John M. Guynn  
**Subject:** FW: Wrap formulations based on Biomax

---

**From:** Kishan Khemani  
**Sent:** Monday, July 03, 2000 9:32 AM  
**To:** Randy Smith  
**Subject:** FW: Wrap formulations based on Biomax

Kishan

—Original Message—

**From:** Kishan Khemani  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 02, 2000 9:34 PM  
**To:** Simon Hodson  
**Cc:** Kishan Khemani  
**Subject:** Wrap formulations based on Biomax

Dear Simon,

The wrap formulations I am currently in the process of evaluating have the following range of materials:

60-70% Biomax 6926  
5-20% Ecoflex F  
10-20% of 'Unknown' Biomax grade  
5-10% Talc  
5-10% TiO2

Once the dryer is installed at Gemini, I plan to finish these tests and expect to have a recommended single formula (hopefully within the next 3-4 weeks).

My current problem is the identification of the 'unknown Biomax grade'. Originally, DuPont said that it was an amorphous grade, Biomax 6940; subsequently they have changed this story to first, Biomax 6926/Silica blend, and more recently to a low melt temperature grade, Biomax 6932. I need to know exactly what I am working with? For your information, the 6940 grade was originally developed by DuPont specifically for a Japanese company, and the application required an amorphous resin soluble in toluene. Apparently, I had received the shipment because of the mistake of a DuPont shipping person.

Any final film formulation will still need DuPont food-contact approvals and biodegradability compliance testing, before we can start marketing this product.

Thanks and regards,

9/19/2005

Kishan